

-----Original Message-----

from: XXX YYY <xxx@yyy.zzz>
to: Managing Editor
date: Thu, Sep 20, 2012 at 3:16 AM
subject: Final decision for manuscript number 2012/AJEA/1447

Dear Dr. ZZZZ,

I have gone through all files including original paper, revised paper, reviewers' suggestions and authors' response to review in order to make a final decision on submission. Since our journal is growing journal and we have to keep its standards, therefore I have gone through the revised version of paper word by word. I have one little observations given below:

In "Table 2. Maximum Likelihood Estimation Results of SFM", After rounding off, the values of standard errors are given up to two decimals after point (e.g. 0.00), therefore there is little difference in t-values as I verified it using the coefficient values and SEs given in Table 2. No problem, that is acceptable because it happens due to rounding off. **BUT, in case of variable "Education of Farmer" in efficiency model**, the SE is 0.01 and coefficient value is 0.02 (insignificant), however t-value should have been around 2.00 as I verify it using the coefficient and t values. Value of SE should be checked by authors again since, as per given values of coefficient and SE, it should be significant with value around 2.00 but they show insignificant with 1.44. Kindly, request the authors to correct it otherwise it will doubt their figure 3 also. Possible solution is that they can give value of SE with more than two digits after point (e.g. 0.014) so that variable status will not be doubted between significant and non-significant status.

Above is very minute but critical point and it should be treated. Rest is Ok and paper is ready for publication. I formally request the editor to accept it after above little correction. See the attached file, I have highlighted the problem in RED.

Regards,
XXX YYY,

Note: Comments were received as email. Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity (email, name etc).